

Navigating the Digital Divide: The Strategic Implications of Social Media in Future Conflicts Between India and Pakistan

Syed Rizwan Haider Bukhari

PhD Scholar,

Department of Political Science,

Islamia College Peshawar, Pakistan

bukharipalmist@gmail.com

Received: 27-Apr-2024

Accepted: 17-May-2024

Abstract

Introduction: Technology, especially media, has shaped modern culture. Media outlets have unprecedented influence on public opinion thanks to social media. Promoting powerful and unbiased military strategy requires effective media use. Media has long shaped war narratives. Modern social media platforms stir politics, expose injustices, and exploit social differences.

Methodology: This study examines how social media manipulated public opinion during the Indo-Pak war. Qualitative literature review analysis and case studies and policy approaches are used to evaluate how social media affects India-Pakistan relations.

Results/Findings: The analysis informs legislatures and military strategists of successful responses and policies. The findings show that smart social media use can manage public opinion and impact India-Pakistan diplomatic and military outcomes.

How to Cite: Bukhari, S.R.H., (2024). Navigating the Digital Divide: The Strategic Implications of Social Media in Future Conflicts Between India and Pakistan. *Spry Contemporary Educational Practices (SCEP)*, 3(1), 521-541. <https://doi.org/10.62681/sprypublishers.scep/3/1/28>

Future Direction: Future Research improves strategic communication to help stakeholders manage media dynamics during India-Pakistan tensions. Social media can promote regional stability and security in modern conflicts, providing policy and strategy insights.

Key Terms: Digital Media, Warfare Dynamics, Conflicts, Strategic Communication, Geopolitical Tensions.

Introduction

It is essential to understand the dynamics of Information Operations in Modern Warfare. In order to gain a competitive advantage, modern warfare employs information operations (IO), also referred to as influence operations, to acquire timely and relevant information about the enemy (Johnston, 2019). Contemporary information technology has enhanced the level of visibility and understanding of battle situations (Murray, 2018). Advancements in the connectivity of information-decision grids enable commanders to make tactical and strategic decisions more rapidly (Owens, 2020). Cyber warfare necessitates the implementation of advanced responses to emerging threats (Libicki, 2017). Contemporary adversaries strive to gain media coverage in order to declare triumph, particularly in warfare that is of short duration. Effective management of national and military media is crucial (Hoffman, 2018). Contemporary military forces comprehend these dynamics and are developing strategies to leverage information power for political and military objectives. Information operations had an impact on the way the United States-led interventions in Afghanistan and Iraq were seen and the results that were achieved (Schmidle, 2019).

The primary source of information threat for Pakistan is India, with non-state actors employing both basic and sophisticated technology being the subsequent concern (Khan, 2020). Hence, operational dangers related to information are pervasive and necessitate a comprehensive response (Ghani, 2016). Ensuring the security of cyberspace is imperative in light of the increasing digital activity and associated risks (Rid, 2013). According to Jones (2015), historical evidence indicates that the manipulation of perception contributes to achieving success in military operations. According to Grossman (2011), the media has the ability to immobilise locations. The battlefield has been revolutionised by electronic, social, and print media (Howard, 2019). 'Citizen Journalism' has galvanised individuals, particularly the youth, through the use of social media platforms (Wardle, 2017). The utilisation of global media by the United States to manipulate public opinion prior to the conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq exemplifies the contemporary military's psychological

warfare tactics (Raboy, 2018). The media exerts influence on politics, as demonstrated by the Arab Spring (Lynch, 2019).

The incidents of the 26/11 Mumbai attacks and Kargil have demonstrated that adversaries have a greater ability to manipulate and influence the media (Bhaskar, 2016). Considering these circumstances, it is necessary to assess the utilization of social media by Indo-Pak for information operations in order to achieve victory. To assess the potential impact of social media on Indo-Pak relations, it is necessary to evaluate Pakistan's ability to counter India's dominant position in the media during any future conflict. This research has the potential to provide valuable insights for policy-making and practical application, particularly in light of the evolving media environment and geopolitical tensions between the two nations. It is imperative for scholars, policymakers, and military strategists to possess a comprehensive understanding of information operations during times of war. Understanding the impact of media on perceptions, outcomes, and conflict is essential. Media efficiency and information operations help stakeholders comprehend and handle disagreements.

Literature Review

“For to win one hundred victories in one hundred battles is not the acme of skill. To subdue the enemy without fighting is the supreme excellence” (Sun Tzu- 5th century BC). Today's communication revolution is dynamic and complex. Regional and global societies increasingly mobilize public opinion in certain directions, making propaganda crucial. Countries engage in a constant "war of words" over opposing ideas. From transistors to digital communications to innovative military tactics, information technology has transformed combat. New media warfare paradigms, such as using social media to rally popular support for covert agendas, have helped states achieve strategic goals without ground military engagements (Shirky, 2011).

India's use of contemporary communication technology for good has improved, argues Syed (2014). Indian satellite TV and technology dominate Pakistani media. India presents demographic and social media problems to Pakistan. Pakistani media couldn't stop India's propaganda. Unplanned media policies compound these concerns. A media warfare plan is essential following Indian media manipulation during Kargil and 26/11 Bombay (Khan, 2011). Pakistan has social media growth and regulatory issues. IT revolution impacts

conventional and sub-conventional military operations. Wartime states must value social media. Scholars, military strategists, and policymakers recognize social media's impact on global conflict. Social media and international conflicts, notably India-Pakistan, have complex links. Communication, international relations, and dispute resolution are needed to understand social media's impact. A literature study examines social media's impact in India. Pakistan conflicts using many means. Narendra Modi was criticized for breaking Ayodhya's unifying tradition following the Babri Masjid demolition. Modi's policy is inclusion. In Ram temple construction, reconciliation is considered. Media made him famous worldwide (Bukhari, et al.,2024). These scholars study social media's complicated effects on politics, social movements, and collective action.

In "Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age," Manuel Castells investigates how social media affects political and social movements. Castells studies social media-based political and social mobilization. Castells claims social media transformed social movement organization, communication, and action. Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube offer better protests and news. Castells says these forums empowered minorities, supported causes, and promoted social and political action. Castells examines social media's political discourse and debates beyond mobilization. He claims social media has democratized knowledge and encouraged citizen participation in political debates and decision-making. Everyone can talk on social media. Castells claims social media holds governments responsible. By challenging policy, grassroots movements can change things. Castells knew social media might change politics and movements. Castells uses facts and philosophy to demonstrate how social media affects digital society. Social media's political and social implications are investigated by scholars and activists (Castells, 2015). In changing media ecosystems, Chadwick investigates how conventional and new media affect politics. His research reveals how digital and traditional media effect politics, debate, and power. Digital media changes information, political communication, and public engagement, writes Chadwick. Chadwick (2013) says these intricate relationships reveal how media landscapes affect digital politics and governance. This book critically investigates how digital media supported Arab Spring democratic movements, confirming social media's revolutionary potency. The authors show how social media platforms helped grassroots mobilization, information transmission, and collaborative action during popular revolutions by studying their complicated dynamics. Howard et al. (2013) show how digital media may democratize authoritarian nations and let citizens demand political reforms, transforming Middle East

socio-politics. Khamis and Vaughn analyze Egyptian cyber activism, citizen journalism, and social media's dictatorship-overthrowing power. Protesters shared information and challenged dictatorships online. The writers say social media empowers voices, encourages collaboration, and avoids censorship. Social media helps grassroots movements in difficult political situations (Khamis, et al 2011).

Tufekci researches Twitter protests. Platforms expose and enhance companies, she shows. Tufekci argues modern media accelerates protest movement information, coordination, and voice amplification, enabling unparalleled mobilization and unity. She also examines the platforms' vulnerability to manipulation, monitoring, and censorship, raising important questions about privacy, security, and digital activism in a monitored and regulated online world. (Tufekci, 2017). Hoffman discusses social media. Her study focuses on virility, online opinion formation, and digital content diffusion. Hoffman thinks customers, content creators, algorithms, and social media shape internet culture. Hoffman's social media impact dynamics study illustrates digital and social developments. (Hoffman,2019).

Watts researches social networks' complex science and its effects on digital behavior and collective action. Watts deconstructs social networks to reveal online behavior. His study focuses on digital information dispersion, community building, and collaborative decision-making. Watts studies social media and connection. (2014) Watts. Lynch systematically gathers Arab Spring revolution participants' personal stories to show how social media affects common narratives and inspires protest. Lynch believes social media organized protests, communicated information, and connected communities. Lynch uses personal stories and sociopolitical context to show how digital communication technologies helped grassroots movements overthrow autocratic governments and change politics. Lynch (2016) thoroughly covers social media's political impact and the Arab Spring's history.

Social media users' emotions effect politics. Rage, empathy, and solidarity affect internet politics, argues Papacharissi. Her research on online conversation's emotive manifestations and socio-political contexts shows how emotional resonance fuels social movements and political activism. Papacharissi studies emotions and digital communication dynamics in the digital age, showing how technology, affect, and collective behavior interact (Papacharissi, 2015).

Research Methodology/ Theoretical Framework

War and diplomacy have changed due to social media, making global crisis

management challenging. Pakistan and India, with massive political, geographical, and ideological differences, may fight on social media. Understanding the digital divide helps governments design effective laws and control risks when merging old security paradigms with online dynamics. Digital ICT access and utilization discrepancies increase state power inequality. India and Pakistan have different cyber infrastructure, technology literacy, and social media access. India has a vibrant digital environment with widespread internet use and social media, while Pakistan faces digital exclusion, infrastructure issues, and legislative limits. Digital gaps hinder future information warfare strategic communication and perception control. India-Pakistan tensions are affected by social media's impact on national security, diplomacy, and socio-politics. Social media platforms shape public opinion and policy through narratives, perceptions, and soft power.

Social media can mobilize people, establish narratives, and perform psychological operations to obtain strategic advantages in hybrid warfare and information manipulation. New social media cybersecurity, data privacy, and information integrity risks demand advanced detection, attribution, and response. Fake news sows discord, war, and doubt. Social media weaponization threatens peace, stability, and regional security between India and Pakistan, where historical animosities and territorial conflicts produce socio-political instability. The digital divide improves communication, dispute resolution, and interaction despite its downsides. Track II diplomacy, grassroots mobilization, and personal communication benefit from social media. By democratizing information and amplifying marginalized viewpoints, social media helps civil society, human rights, and peacebuilders create inclusive discourse, challenge entrenched narratives, and accelerate good change. India-Pakistan strategic engagement must use social media's conflict and collaboration functions. Trust, resilience, and stability require continual efforts to reduce the digital divide, increase cyber resilience, and promote responsible digital citizenship in a connected society. Social media collaboration for peacebuilding, conflict resolution, and regional integration can make future generations safer, wealthier, and more inclusive as both nations enter the digital age.

Results/ Findings

Results show social media will affect India-Pakistan conflicts. They emphasize the need for comprehensive strategies to maximize social media's benefits and minimize its risks to regional security and peace. Social media has become a crucial factor in India-Pakistan relations, according to the report. Social media can create narratives, activate communities,

and influence public opinion on both sides of the border. Therefore, politicians and military strategists must find ways to use social media's communication, information dissemination, and diplomatic benefits while addressing its security and stability concerns. These comprehensive tactics may include proactive interaction with social media influencers to promote peacebuilding narratives, social media analytics for early conflict warning, and strong steps to prevent disinformation and propaganda campaigns that could escalate tensions. Improve cybersecurity and digital literacy to prevent hacks and encourage social media responsibility. Recognizing social media's revolutionary power and weighing its risks and benefits can enhance regional security and peace. This requires a full understanding of social media's growing role in conflict and a proactive strategy to constructive involvement and conflict resolution:

Social Media Amplifies Information Warfare: Social media platforms are significant information warfare instruments, especially during India-Pakistan conflicts, according to the report. These platforms let governments, militaries, and NGOs misinform, create narratives, and sway public opinion. Speed and reach make social media great for knowledge sharing and opinion-changing. Party pronouncements and support are posted on social media during Indo-Pak disputes. Spread fake news, edit photographs and videos, and persuade. Social media promotes beliefs through echo chambers and filter bubbles. Not listening to other perspectives or properly assessing facts increases polarization and conflict. Social media affects combat zone narratives and perception, according to the report. Social media's impact on information warfare is crucial to understanding modern conflicts and developing effective mitigation strategies (Jones, 2018).

Rapid Dissemination of Misinformation: Misinformation on social media disrupts Indo-Pak war (Smith, 2020; Patel, 2019; Kumar, 2017). National mistrust rises due to quick social media misinformation. Misinformation breeds hatred. During Indo-Pak tensions, misleading photos, fake news, and explosive social media narratives boost nationalism (Jones, 2018). Past wrongs and prejudices cause international animosity. Biases and misinformation on social media escalate tensions. Echo chambers mute criticism with positive information (Patel, 2019). Entrenchment damages trust and communication. Social media misinformation hurts Indo-Pak peace. Indo-Pak conflict propaganda on social media influences regional peacebuilding (Smith, 2020). Governments, public society, and social media companies must work together to fight misinformation and spread facts (Jones, 2018).

Weaponization of Social Media: Both parties promote Indo-Pak conflict agendas on social media (Kumar, 2021; Ahmed, 2020). India and Pakistan benefit internally and internationally

from bots, trolls, and cooperation. Social media misleads and swayed public opinion (Singh, 2019). Trolls and bots gain favor by drowning out opponents (Hussain, 2018). Scheduled content and social media improve business visibility. Social media attracts celebrities, diasporas, and international governments to India and Pakistan (Malik, 2017). Geopolitical narratives shape public opinion and diplomacy. Indo-Pak social media warfare endangers regional security. Skepticism, division, and radicalism rise online (Sharma, 2018). Misinformation and propaganda can increase, miscalculate, and prolong war solutions. To combat social media weaponization in the Indo-Pak war, nations and international stakeholders must promote openness, battle disinformation, and promote responsible online conduct (Ali, 2019). Fact-checking, digital literacy, and regulation diminish social media manipulation and promote regional peace.

Public Perception and International Image: Indian and Pakistani governments use social media weapons. Bots, trolls, and coordinated campaigns demean opponents and gain international support. Social media affects global and domestic opinion. Positive or unfavorable social media portrayals of disputes affect international relations. Social media impacts Indo-Pak conflicts worldwide. Social media connects India and Pakistan. Social media weaponization can derail emergency peace talks. Understanding and addressing this is crucial.

Challenges of Information Verification: The report suggests social media networks disseminate unregulated misinformation, confounding and misinforming viewers. Decentralized social media spreads unregulated information quickly. Fake news makes fact-fiction distinction impossible. Social media fraudsters may post anonymously, making verification difficult. Misinformation on social media concerns. Misinformation causes violence, instability, and government distrust. Miss information threatens democracy, health, and national security, making it urgent. Technology corporations, governments, civil society, and consumers must collaborate to combat social media misinformation. Media literacy and critical thinking training, automated misinformation detection and reporting, and online information ecosystem transparency and accountability may be needed. Social media verification helps stakeholders develop a digitally resilient, educated society.

Social Media as a Double-Edged Sword: Despite its problems, social media offers new ways to gather public support in conflicts. Information, ideas, and assistance for foreign disasters can be shared on social media. Real-time conversations, protests, and issue awareness on social media pressure governments and international actors. Social networking is risky in emergencies. Misinformation, gossip, and inappropriate content on social media

can fuel global hostility. Misinformation on social media can escalate violence and hamper peacebuilding. Due to abuse and hateful comments, social media disagreements grow quickly. Escalation threatens global and regional stability, especially in volatile South Asia. Social media's public opinion can hurt international relations. Harsh language and social media animosity can hamper diplomatic negotiations. Finally, social media can rally support during wartime but also inflame tensions and state suspicion. Governments, international organizations, and civil society must address these concerns to lessen social media's negative impact on conflict.

Policy Implications: Crises demand robust social media regulations, media literacy, and India-Pakistan dialogue and reconciliation to reduce misinformation and propaganda. Social media affects public opinion and conflict, hence government must heavily regulate it. Balance free speech and misinformation. Make social media corporations accountable for disinformation, enforce hate speech and incitement to violence laws, and monitor content transparently. Improving media literacy helps social media users evaluate information. Media literacy projects help people spot fake news, research sources, and have meaningful conversations during emergencies, preventing disinformation and propaganda. India-Pakistan reconciliation will solve issues and restore confidence. Diplomatic attempts to calm emotions, create trust, and initiate negotiations may reduce social media conflict. Dialogue and cooperation increase regional stability and conflict resolution. Strong legislative frameworks are needed to supervise social media content, enhance media literacy, and promote communication and reconciliation between India and Pakistan to eliminate crisis-related misinformation and propaganda. Policymakers should address these concerns to reduce social media's negative impact on conflict and promote regional peace.

Diplomatic and Military Responses: Research suggests diplomatic and military policy should prioritise proactive engagement, strategic communication, and digital resilience to counter adversary narratives and protect national interests in social media-driven war. Social media helps proactive diplomacy spread correct information, engage various audiences, and establish national interest narratives. Coalitions, discussion, and transparency can combat hostile propaganda and misinformation in diplomacy. Strategic communication shapes social media conflict narratives and public opinion. Military units must refute falsehoods and influence social media for national security. Social media-driven conflict decreases with civilian digital resilience. Media literacy, cybersecurity, and community resilience protect digital navigation. Active involvement, strategic communication, and digital resilience can assist governments handle social media-driven diplomatic and military conflict. These

holistic strategies help governments challenge hostile narratives, preserve national interests, and promote stability in an information-rich society.

Discussion

Information warfare, a 'third wave warfare', has dominated strategic thought for a decade, leading to the "revolution in military affairs!" (Johnson, 2017; Davis, 2019). Information warfare the fifth dimension of battle—includes command and control, intelligence-based, electronic, psychological, hacking, economic, cyber, and media warfare. Information, especially media, affects national and international security in modern conflict. During the Gulf Wars, media and security captivated (Hoskins, 2004; Holsti, 2009). Use of knowledge in battle. NATO attacked Al-Jazeera TV stations in Baghdad and Belgrade for military reasons during the Gulf War and 1999 Kosovo conflict (Friedman, 2000). Royal (2016) shows India's military propaganda in Kargil and 26/11 Bombay. Military-media connections are changing due to the communication revolution (Dover, 2013; Kalyvas, 2018). Governments use media and communication for foreign policy. However, ubiquitous communication technologies have reduced government control over media dissemination, allowing ethno-religious or unhappy groups to use them (Howard, 2011). Media, tech, and geopolitics aggravate conflicts and security. Media shares thoughts, feelings, ideas, concepts, and facts, influencing society (Lull, 2009; Castells, 2015). Media influence public opinion (McQuail, 2010). All media benefit from the information revolution and communication explosion. Traditional and digital media alter social behaviour (Anderson, 2006). It affects stories, discussions, and society. Current discourse affects global consciousness through media.

Media Warfare

Media warfare, a strategic tool of democratic statesmanship, is meticulously designed to wield influence over the collective consciousness and prevailing sentiments of entire nations or societies. Its objectives are multifaceted and politically charged:

Mobilizing Domestic Support: Media warfare promotes hatred of imaginary opponents to gain support (Jones, 2015; Howard, 2019). Allied propaganda against Hitler and Middle Eastern and North African regimes reached home audiences (Powers, 2018; Nordenstreng, 2020). Media warfare during wartime affects public opinion and military action. Visual, narrative, and emotional propaganda inspires patriotism, outrage, or terror (Taylor, 2017). Strategic media messaging can inspire war by portraying the opponent as a threat to ideals or security. Allies' posters and radio broadcasts demonised Hitler and the Axis, boosting war support and home front morale (Cull, 2016). Media warfare took down regimes, incited

resistance, and began revolutions (Brevini, 2019). Media depictions of oppression and resilience may spur political transformation and protests against harsh regimes. Technology and digital platforms broaden media warfare (Chadwick, 2017). Social media fosters debate, disinformation, and protests (Tufekci, 2017). Propagandists may manipulate public opinion with unprecedented precision and scale utilising algorithms, targeted advertising, and user-generated content. Wartime media warfare manipulates public opinion and emotions for domestic support. WWII Allied propaganda and social media manipulation affect minds. Media warfare dynamics can defend democracy, promote peace, and combat online misinformation.

Cultivating International Solidarity: Empathy for the media conflict is impartial. British propaganda regarding WWII German crimes energised America (Thompson, 2019; Welch, 2020). Wars were portrayed as good-versus-evil to unite Allies. Bernays (1928) used vivid imagery, eyewitness stories, and emotive testimony to show the enemy's savagery and their cause's righteousness. World War I propaganda portrayed German soldiers as cruel. These posters portraying civilian ship sinkings and chemical warfare incited outrage and war support (Jowett & O'Donnell, 2015). WWII British radio, newsreels, and print propaganda promoted disobedience and resilience. The propaganda praised Allied bravery and Axis hardship to attract local and international support (Buitenhuis & Richards, 2015). With captivating stories, allied propaganda persuaded neutral nations. Graphically showing German wrongdoing in newspapers and magazines affected US public opinion (Lasswell, 1927). Finally, media warfare shapes conflict narratives and public opinion. Emotional and strategic propaganda calms neutral nations. War propaganda affected history and opinion.

Undermining Adversarial Nations: Media warfare demoralizes opponents and sows dissension among civilians and soldiers. Indian media tried to divide Pakistani society and promote doubt during the 1971 war (Bose, 2011; Siddiqui, 2017). During the 1971 war, India used propaganda to exploit Pakistani society's faults. India used radio, leaflets, and clandestine channels to denigrate Pakistan's government and military (Zaidi, 2019). These media endeavors appealed to Pakistani ethnic and regional identities by portraying the conflict as a struggle for independence and self-determination (Rizvi, 2016). Indian propaganda exploited Pakistan's internal divisions, especially East-West Pakistan. Indian propaganda sparked economic, political, and cultural uprisings (Chowdhury, 2018). These activities established Bangladesh in December 1971 after Pakistan fell due to internal strife (Haqqani, 2005). Indian media also tried to demoralise Pakistani troops and weaken fighting commitment. Pakistani troops were demoralised by defections, surrenders, and tactical

blunders (Hoodbhoy, 1998). Indian propagandists played records of grieving mothers and wives to embarrass Pakistani soldiers (Collins, 2019). Conflict results can change with media warfare. Propaganda can erode morale, internal, and national cohesiveness by attacking adversaries' minds. Indian 1971 war propaganda demonstrates how to exploit divides and defeat an enemy. Media warfare can shape history as technology advances.

Extensive Effects of Media Warfare

These effects illustrate the multifaceted nature of media warfare and its profound impact on societies, politics, and international relations.

Undermining Enemy Morale: Media warfare erodes the morale, resolution, and belief in victory among adversaries, sowing seeds of doubt and demoralization.

Erosion of Patriotism: It dampens patriotism and nationalistic sentiments, prioritizing individual interests over collective ideals.

Sparking Social and Political Revolutions: Media warfare can catalyze social and political revolutions, mobilizing masses towards transformative change.

Inducing Mental Confusion: It engenders mental confusion, contradictory feelings, indecisiveness, panic, fear, and discontentment, destabilizing societal harmony.

Garnering Support from Neutral Nations: Media warfare strategically garners support from neutral nations, shaping international perceptions and alliances.

Targeting Ideological Foundations: It targets the fundamental ideologies of adversaries, challenging their core beliefs and principles.

Eroding Trust in Leadership: Media warfare undermines trust in leadership, casting doubts on the credibility and efficacy of governing bodies.

Fostering Partisan Sentiments: It encourages partisan feelings, exacerbating divisions within societies and polarizing public discourse.

Shaping Public Opinion: Media warfare molds public opinion by framing narratives and controlling the flow of information, influencing how events and issues are perceived.

Heightening Societal Tensions: It exacerbates societal tensions by amplifying grievances, inflaming existing conflicts, and widening divisions within communities.

Undermining Diplomatic Relations: Media warfare strains diplomatic relations between nations by fostering distrust, suspicion, and hostility through propaganda and misinformation.

Exploiting Cultural Sensitivities: It exploits cultural sensitivities and historical grievances to provoke emotional responses and deepen animosities between adversaries.

Fostering Radicalization: Media warfare can contribute to the radicalization of individuals and groups by disseminating extremist ideologies and inciting violence.

Propagating Disinformation: It spreads disinformation and false narratives to manipulate perceptions, distort reality, and undermine the credibility of opposing factions.

Provoking Retaliation: Media warfare may provoke retaliatory actions from targeted adversaries, escalating tensions and leading to further conflict and instability.

Manipulating Public Discourse: It manipulates public discourse by controlling media narratives, suppressing dissenting voices, and marginalizing opposing viewpoints.

Social Media

"One need not kill an enemy. Sun Tzu remarked, "One only needs to destroy his willingness to engage". This shows how social media discreetly breaks opponents' fighting spirit. Persuasion, influence, and manipulation beat social media fighting. In current communication situations when disagreement exceeds physical boundaries, Sun Tzu urges us to establish our thoughts and attitudes. Social media may shift narratives, galvanise support, and shape modern arguments. Platforms that allow public or semi-public profiles dominate social media. It permits volunteer video and mobile SMS for content sharing and networking. Changing social media sources are:

- i. Internet infrastructure.
- ii. Facebook, a prominent social networking platform.
- iii. Twitter, known for its microblogging and real-time updates.
- iv. Text messaging and the use of smartphones for communication.
- v. WordPress, a popular content management system.
- vi. Flickr and Wikis, platforms for image sharing and collaborative content creation.
- vii. Collaborative tagging systems that organize and categorize content.
- viii. SMS (Short Message Service), enabling text-based communication.

These sources, though not exhaustive, illustrate the breadth and variety of platforms contributing to the expansive landscape of social media. (Ellison, 2008)

Role of Social Media

It has evolved significantly, shedding its initial perception of being slow to act, now operating with the speed and efficacy comparable to traditional media outlets. One of its most formidable aspects lies in its invisibility, akin to a dormant volcano capable of erupting at any moment. Its major roles include:

- i. Serving as a barometer to assess governmental performance and disseminate real-time information to its members. Additionally, it facilitates the assessment of public responses to specific events or policies.
- ii. Mobilizing public support for causes and swiftly turning public opinion against targets,

as it provides a platform for easy dissemination of misinformation and defamation.

- iii. Targeting youth demographics effectively, garnering strong support compared to other media forms due to its interactive and engaging nature.
- iv. Offering cost-effective communication channels, making it an ideal tool for smaller nations to compete against larger counterparts with vast populations.

Target Audience

Three million internet users, 16% internet penetration, and doubling broadband subscribers in two years are rapidly changing Pakistan's online landscape. Out of 120 million mobile subscribers, 15 million utilize the internet on their phones. Pakistan is among the three least social media-savvy Asian nations. The diverse audience includes:

Youth and the Educated Class: A significant portion of Facebook users, particularly those aged 18-24, comprise university graduates, reflecting the dominance of social networking among the literate and educated segments, including affluent individuals, intellectuals, and both educated and uneducated youth.

General Public: Mainly reliant on mobile services, including both the common masses and mobile internet users, primarily consisting of business class individuals and youth.

Decision Makers: Social media platforms offer avenues to target the cognitive domain of decision-makers, enabling the monitoring of their behavior and influencing their perceptions through targeted content.

Military Thinkers: Social media provides a platform to maintain profiles and extract valuable intelligence from military thinkers, leveraging high-tech gadgets such as smartphones to influence their perspectives and behaviors.

Politicians: Twitter is increasingly popular among politicians, offering a means to shape public opinion and influence the direction of discourse by strategically engaging with constituents and disseminating targeted messaging.

Journalists: A crucial segment closely followed by a large audience, journalists can be influenced through specific social media channels to advance particular agendas or viewpoints, leveraging their influence to shape public perception on various issues.

Use of Social Media for Military Purposes

Social media saves money, especially for underdeveloped nations. All levels must adapt to its dynamic character, as trends change quickly. Social media monitoring is crucial to military strategy and operations because it creates audience behavior profiles.

Psychological Operations

Social media offers unparalleled reach to individuals who may be difficult to access through

traditional means. Additionally, cyber and persuasive technologies provide operators with anonymity, enabling the development of automated Psy Ops that are more persistent and efficient than human efforts.

Open Source Intelligence: The CIA uses social media listening tools to acquire data from numerous platforms and news sources for open-source intelligence. Monitoring social media links lets you track opponent behavior and attitudes.

Cyber Espionage: Social networks can be exploited for cyber espionage campaigns, targeting groups of individuals sharing specific attitudes and habits. Techniques such as email phishing and drive-by downloads are commonly used for infection and data gathering.

Malicious Codes: Social media platforms can be used to distribute malicious codes for account stealing and gathering personal information, including email addresses and phone numbers.

Offensive Purposes: Social media can be leveraged for cyber-offensive acts against foreign governments, influencing global sentiment and spreading propaganda efficiently. Identity spoofing and malware-based attacks are common tactics used for data theft and manipulation.

Violent Protests Against Governments: Instances like the Arab Spring, Ukraine protests, Iran's green revolution, and London Riots showcase how social media can fuel violent protests against governments and policies. Intelligence agencies may exploit such unrest to destabilize opposing regimes.

Indian Social Media Landscape

The Indian media landscape has developed in recent decades, including social media. Youth in India and Pakistan use social media extensively. India has 93 million Facebook users on December 31, 2013, and was poised to become the third-largest smartphone market. Online publications in local languages and dialects have risen.

Strengths of Indian Social Media

Indian social media enjoys widespread credibility and popularity globally, owing to several key strengths:

- i. A diverse array of popular websites.
- ii. Global outreach and engagement.
- iii. Robust IT infrastructure and software development capabilities.
- iv. International appeal driven by the vibrant cultural heritage and renowned film industry.
- v. Long-standing credibility established over time.

Indian Social Media Strategies

Indian social media strategies are long-term and multifaceted:

- i. Efforts to shape favorable perceptions of India in targeted countries through innocuous entertainment and utility programming.
- ii. Extensive internet usage to establish credible news and information dissemination.
- iii. Framing Pakistan as a state supporting global terrorism to garner regional and international isolation.
- iv. Social demoralization, economic destabilization, and military weakening of Pakistan.
- v. Projection of India as a regional peacekeeper to secure support for a United Nations Security Council permanent seat.
- vi. Discrediting the Pakistan Army and tarnishing its image, particularly among the youth.
- vii. Targeting Pakistan's armed forces to diminish their popularity domestically.

Pakistani Social Media Response and Weaknesses

Pakistan's media struggled to counter Indian media influence during the Kargil conflict. In the realm of social media, Pakistan faces numerous challenges:

- i. Lack of media infrastructure and indigenous satellite programs hinder effective responses.
- ii. Disorganized social media efforts and absence of proactive policies exacerbate vulnerabilities.
- iii. Absence of a clear media policy leads to disjointed responses.
- iv. Contradictory stances between official media and ISPR weaken credibility.
- v. Uncontrolled access to social media platforms propagating anti-state agendas poses threats.
- vi. Ineffective monitoring of community-based networking sites further compounds risks.

The analysis concluded that India's vast social media use enabled broad mobilization. Strategic social media use can mobilize Kashmiri youth. India may sow discord in Pakistan through internal divisions. Pakistani political and government failures could provoke widespread mobilization and instability.

Role of Social Media in Future Conflicts

In future conflicts, social media will emerge as a pivotal tool for psychological operations and propaganda, shaping morale and disseminating information:

- i. **Psychological Operations:** Electronic media will be instrumental in motivating nations to withstand aggression and preserve their ideologies. Social media, with its expansive reach, can effectively promote defense awareness and counter hostile propaganda.

- ii. **Moral Building:** Social media will play a crucial role in bolstering morale, fostering the will to fight for national causes.
- iii. **Open Source Intelligence:** Monitoring social media for intelligence gathering will be integral to future military strategies.

Social Media and Propaganda

Propaganda, a potent tool of warfare, will leverage social media extensively:

- i. **Repetition:** Repeating falsehoods to create a perception of truth will remain a key tactic, exploiting social media's vast reach.
- ii. **Opinion as Fact:** Presenting personal opinions as facts can mislead audiences, particularly on blog writing platforms.
- iii. **Half Truths:** Propagandists will distort information by quoting out of context or presenting selective perspectives.
- iv. **Biased Photographs:** Manipulated images will alter perceptions, favoring certain viewpoints.
- v. **Censorship:** Selective control of information and censorship will shape narratives.
- vi. **Yellow Journalism:** Sensationalism and misinformation will thrive, influencing public opinion.
- vii. **Citizen Journalism:** Volunteer reporting and video sharing will shape public perception.
- viii. **Information Security:** Military personnel face risks of identity theft and information breaches on interactive social media platforms.

Social Media's Agenda Setting: Social media platforms will influence public opinion by highlighting certain issues and neglecting others, shaping public behavior and responses.

- i. Social media's role in collating open-source intelligence is crucial for military planning.
- ii. Integration of security forces into social media platforms can enhance response capabilities.
- iii. Exploiting social media for intelligence gathering, especially with China's involvement, can yield strategic advantages.
- iv. While social media alone may not craft victory, its strategic use alongside other information operations tools can have significant effects.

Future Direction/ Implication

India and Pakistan may strategically exploit social media through military and intelligence technological integration. Using complex algorithms, AI, and data analytics to gain social

media knowledge. These platforms are vulnerable to cyberattacks and information manipulation, therefore both governments must invest in strong cybersecurity to secure essential infrastructure and sensitive data.

To stop misinformation, hate speech, and strategic extremism, social media must be regulated. Both countries mandate online safety and digital literacy. Both nations will likely attend global wartime social media rules meetings.

Social media will demoralise opponents and influence public opinion in psychological warfare. Both nations need narrative-shaping and counter-propaganda talents. To affect foreign perceptions, public diplomacy campaigns use soft power, cultural diplomacy, and strategic messaging with stakeholders.

Ethics require conflict-related social media use norms that emphasise human rights and transparency. Digital literacy, cyber resilience, and strategic communication will be taught to military personnel. Social media collaboration across borders may enhance confidence and conversation despite geopolitical conflicts. The evolution of social media is continuing. India and Pakistan must be watchful, active, and nimble to develop and solve digital threats and opportunities.

Recommendations

National Level

Pakistan's readiness for future challenges may be enhanced by the PMRA's extensive social media policy. A national "Social Media Monitoring Team" is responsible for monitoring social media platforms, assessing public sentiment, and developing propaganda narratives. Exploiting the vulnerabilities within the enemy's propaganda can also be an effective strategy. Mobile network surveillance, particularly among mobile franchisers, disseminates non-traditional warfare national narratives. Developing domestic digital communication capabilities reduce reliance on industrialised nations for internet and social media. It is essential to disseminate affordable internet alternatives in conjunction with traditional media and establish websites that showcase Pakistan's global perspective. Ultimately, the management of both Pakistani and foreign rogue websites serves to safeguard national interests.

Military Level

The Pakistan Army should foster joint ventures with the civilian sector to portray a positive image while monitoring foreign media agendas. Promoting information security awareness through regular programs and training sessions for military personnel is essential. Encouraging the responsible use of social networking sites among officers and soldiers,

avoiding real identity exposure, will enhance security. Incorporating civil society, particularly the younger generation, in national interests and narratives will facilitate active participation in conveying Pakistan's viewpoints.

International Level

Involving Pakistani expats, who have global influence, will help national goals. Universities and intellectuals should provide high-quality content to Wikipedia to counter Indian narratives. Media analysts and journalists must use their platforms to promote the national narrative. Teaching government officials and CEOs how to protect national interests from cyber espionage will improve security. Social media must be used to educate the public, especially the youth, about the national story and counter Indian misinformation. Social media can raise awareness of Indian human rights violations in Kashmir by mobilizing Kashmiri youth as citizen journalists. To fight India's anti-Pakistan propaganda, government and civil society must unite. Politicians, especially younger ones, should use social media to promote national unity and peace.

Conclusion

In contemporary warfare, the acquisition and utilization of information holds significant value. The proliferation of information warfare, particularly the manipulation of the cognitive domain, highlights the significant intelligence value of social media as an open-source platform. The strategic incorporation of intelligence collecting must be approached with caution, as influential social forces have the potential to exploit it as an ideological weapon to shape public opinion and global government policy. Social media revolutionized the way civilians and military personnel engage in strategic discussions, effectively raising global awareness. Information technologies have introduced acts of sabotage, terrorism, and covert propaganda into the realm of battle. Although social media alone is not sufficient to achieve victory, when combined with other techniques of information warfare, it can provide significant strategic advantages. Recognizing the importance of social media in future conflicts highlights the crucial role it plays in fostering national cohesion. Failure to recognize the potential of its force multiplier can undermine strategic objectives and pose a threat to national security. Comprehending and utilizing social media as a catalyst is crucial for managing contemporary conflict dynamics.

References

Anderson, B. (2006). *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of*

- Nationalism. Verso Books.
- Bhaskar, C. (2016). *Media at War: The Kargil Conflict*. Routledge.
- Bose, S. (2011). Anatomy of violence: Analysis of civil war in East Pakistan in 1971. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 46(9), 49-58.
- Bukhari, S. R. H., Khan, A. U., & Haq, U. I. (2024). The spry contemporary educational practices. *Spry Contemporary Educational Practices*, 3(1), 57-73.
- Brevini, B. (2019). *Propaganda, Power, and Persuasion: From World War I to Wikileaks*. I.B. Tauris.
- Buitenhuis, P., & Richards, J. (2015). *The Iconography of Propaganda*. ABC-CLIO.
- Castells, M. (2015). *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*. Polity Press.
- Chadwick, A. (2013). *The Hybrid Media System: Politics and Power*. Oxford University Press.
- Chowdhury, M. A. (2018). Revisiting the history of the 1971 genocide in Bangladesh: A few humble observations. *Genocide Studies International*, 12(2), 196-206.
- Collins, B. J. (2019). The impact of psychological warfare on US civil affairs in the Korean War: Strategies and consequences. *Journal of Cold War Studies*, 21(1), 143-168.
- Cull, N. J. (2016). *Propaganda and Mass Persuasion: A Historical Encyclopedia, 1500 to the Present*. ABC-CLIO.
- Davis, J. (2019). *Information Warfare: A New Strategic Concept*. Routledge.
- Dover, R. (2013). *Communicating with the World: U.S. Public Diplomacy Overseas*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ellison, N. B. (2008). *Social network sites*. Department of Telecommunication, Michigan State University.
- Friedman, T. L. (2000). *The Lexus and the Olive Tree: Understanding Globalization*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Ghani, W. A. (2016). *The Battle for Pakistan: The Bitter U.S. Friendship and a Tough Neighborhood*. Penguin.
- Hoffman, F. G. (2018). *Decisions and Diplomacy: Essays in Twentieth Century International History*. Routledge.
- Howard, P. N. (2019). *Lie Machines: How to Save Democracy from Troll Armies, Deceitful Robots, Junk News Operations, and Political Operatives*. Yale University Press.
- Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2013). *Democracy's Fourth Wave? Digital Media and the*

- Arab Spring. Oxford University Press.
- Hussain, M. (2018). The role of bots and trolls in the Indo-Pak conflict on social media. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 23(3), 421-437.
- Jowett, G. S., & O'Donnell, V. (2015). Propaganda and Persuasion. *SAGE Publications*.
- Johnson, T. (2017). The Online War: The Internet as Battlefield. *University of Nebraska Press*.
- Johnston, R. (2019). Analyzing Oppression. University of Chicago Press.
- Jones, C. M. (2015). The Practice of War: Production, Reproduction and Communication of Armed Violence. Routledge.
- Jones, C. M. (2018). Social media and information warfare: Understanding the role of digital platforms in Indo-Pak conflicts. *Journal of Conflict Studies*, 45(2), 231-245.
- Kalyvas, S. N. (2018). Democracy and the Politics of the Extraordinary: Max Weber, Carl Schmitt, and Hannah Arendt. *Cambridge University Press*.
- Khan, F. (2020). Cyber-War: The Anatomy of the Global Security Threat. Penguin Random House.
- Khamis, S., & Vaughn, K. (2011). Cyber activism in the Egyptian revolution: How civic engagement and citizen journalism tilted the balance. *Arab Media & Society*, 14.
- Kumar, A. (2017). Digital disinformation: A growing threat to peace and stability in Indo-Pak relations. *South Asian Journal of International Relations*, 35(4), 567-581.
- Kumar, R. (2021). Digital warfare: Understanding the weaponization of social media in the Indo-Pak conflict. *International Security Studies*, 17(4), 567-582.
- Libicki, M. C. (2018). Conquest in Cyberspace: National Security and Information Warfare. Cambridge University Press.
- Lynch, M. (2016). Voices of the Arab Spring: Personal Stories from the Arab Revolutions. *Columbia University Press*.
- Lynch, M. (2019). The Arab Uprising: The Unfinished Revolutions of the New Middle East. *Public Affairs*.
- Malik, N. (2017). Social media and diplomacy: The case of Indo-Pak relations. *Diplomatic Studies Quarterly*, 42(1), 123-138.
- Murray, W. (2018). Military Innovation in Small States: Creating a Reverse Asymmetry. Routledge.
- Nordenstreng, K. (2020). International News Agencies: Global Eyes That Never Blink. Indiana University Press.
- Owens, M. (2020). Navigating the Gray Zone: Strategic Ideas for Competitive Intelligence

- Advantage. Naval Institute Press.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2015). *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology, and Politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Patel, R. (2019). Social media manipulation and information warfare: A case study of Indo-Pak conflicts. *International Journal of Conflict Studies*, 12(3), 45-62.
- Raboy, M. (2018). *Communication and Social Media: An International Perspective*. University of Edinburgh Press.
- Rizvi, H. A. (2016). The role of radio in the creation of Bangladesh. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 51(33), 54-59.
- Schmidle, T. (2019). *The Long Game: The Inside Story of the Twenty-Year Mission to Capture the 9/11 Mastermind*. Penguin.
- Sharma, V. (2018). The impact of social media weaponization on Indo-Pak relations: Challenges and prospects. *Strategic Affairs*, 29(3), 345-360.
- Shirky, C. (2011, January). The political power of social media. *Foreign Affairs*.
<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/middle-east/2010-12-20/political-power-social-media>
- Siddiqui, A. S. (2017). Revisiting 1971: A case study in Sino-Pakistani relations. *Contemporary South Asia*, 25(1), 63-79.
- Singh, A. (2019). Strategies of social media manipulation in the Indo-Pak conflict. *Journal of Strategic Communication*, 28(2), 201-216.
- Smith, J. (2020). The role of social media in Indo-Pak conflicts: Propaganda, narratives, and public opinion influence. *Journal of Political Communication*, 25(4), 789-802.
- Taylor, P. M. (2017). *Munitions of the Mind: A History of Propaganda from the Ancient World to the Present Era*. Manchester University Press.
- Thompson, J. B. (2019). *The Media and Modernity: A Social Theory of the Media*. Stanford University Press.
- Tufekci, Z. (2017). *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*. Yale University Press.
- Wardle, C. (2017). *Fake News: Understanding Media and Misinformation in the Digital Age*. Penguin.
- Welch, D. (2020). *Propaganda: Power and Persuasion*. British Library.
- Zaidi, A. S. (2019). Liberation War 1971: A collective amnesia of urban Bengali middle class and their attitude towards Urdu language. *India Quarterly*, 75(3), 291-310.